

**Pt. 53, Subpt. A, Table A-1**

**40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-11 Edition)**

(ii) The notice of proposed rule-making will include the terms or substance of the proposed revision, will indicate what period(s) of time the Administrator proposes to allow for replacement of existing methods under section 2.3 of appendix C to part 58 of this chapter, and will solicit public comments on the proposal with particular reference to the considerations set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(2)(i) If, after consideration of comments received, the Administrator determines that the appendix to part 50 in question should be revised, the Administrator will, by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

(A) Promulgate the proposed revision, with such modifications as may be appropriate in view of comments received.

(B) Where the appendix to part 50 (prior to revision) specifies a measurement principle and calibration procedure, cancel reference method designations based on the appendix.

(C) Cancel equivalent method designations based on the existing reference method(s).

(D) Specify the period(s) that will be allowed for replacement of existing methods under section 2.3 of appendix C to part 58 of this chapter, with such modifications from the proposed period(s) as may be appropriate in view of comments received.

(3) Canceled designations will be deleted from the list maintained under § 53.8(c). The requirements and procedures for cancellation set forth in § 53.11 shall be inapplicable to cancellation of reference or equivalent method designations under this section.

(4) If the appendix to part 50 of this chapter in question is revised to specify a new measurement principle and calibration procedure on which the applicant's candidate method is based, the Administrator will take appropriate action under § 53.5 to determine whether the candidate method is a reference method.

(5) Upon taking action under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, the Administrator will send notice of the action to all applicants for whose methods reference and equivalent method designations are canceled by such action.

(f) An applicant who has received notice of a determination under paragraph (d)(2) of this section may appeal the determination by taking one or more of the following actions:

(1) The applicant may submit new or additional information in support of the application.

(2) The applicant may request that the Administrator reconsider the data and information already submitted.

(3) The applicant may request that any test conducted by the Administrator that was a material factor in making the determination be repeated.

**TABLE A-1 TO SUBPART A OF PART 53—SUMMARY OF APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR REFERENCE AND EQUIVALENT METHODS FOR AIR MONITORING OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS**

Pollutant	Reference or equivalent	Manual or automated	Applicable part 50 appendix	Applicable subparts of part 53					
				A	B	C	D	E	F
SO <sub>2</sub> .....	Reference .....	Manual .....	A-2	✓	✓				
		Automated .....	A-1						
	Equivalent .....	Manual .....	A-1	✓		✓			
CO .....		Automated .....	A-1	✓	✓	✓			
	Reference .....	Automated .....	C	✓	✓				
	Equivalent .....	Manual .....	C	✓		✓			
O <sub>3</sub> .....		Automated .....	C	✓	✓	✓			
	Reference .....	Automated .....	D	✓	✓				
	Equivalent .....	Manual .....	D	✓		✓			
NO <sub>2</sub> .....		Automated .....	D	✓	✓	✓			
	Reference .....	Automated .....	F	✓	✓				
	Equivalent .....	Manual .....	F	✓		✓			
Pb .....		Automated .....	F	✓	✓	✓			
	Reference .....	Manual .....	G						
	Equivalent .....	Manual .....	G	✓		✓			
PM <sub>10</sub> -Pb ....		Automated .....	G	✓		✓			
	Reference .....	Manual .....	Q						

Pollutant	Reference or equivalent	Manual or automated	Applicable part 50 appendix	Applicable subparts of part 53					
				A	B	C	D	E	F
PM <sub>10</sub>	Equivalent	Manual	Q	✓		✓			
		Automated	Q	✓		✓			
	Reference	Manual	J	✓			✓		
	Equivalent	Manual	J	✓		✓	✓		
PM <sub>2.5</sub>		Automated	J	✓		✓	✓		
	Reference	Manual	L	✓				✓	
	Equivalent Class I	Manual	L	✓		✓		✓	
	Equivalent Class II	Manual	L <sup>1</sup>	✓		✓ <sup>2</sup>		✓	✓ <sup>1 2</sup>
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	Equivalent Class III.	Automated	L <sup>1</sup>	✓		✓		✓	✓ <sup>1</sup>
	Reference	Manual	L, O	✓				✓	
	Equivalent Class I	Manual	L, O	✓		✓		✓	
	Equivalent Class II	Manual	L, O	✓		✓ <sup>2</sup>		✓	✓ <sup>1 2</sup>
	Equivalent Class III.	Automated	L <sup>1</sup> , O <sup>1</sup>	✓		✓		✓	✓ <sup>1</sup>

1. Some requirements may apply, based on the nature of each particular candidate method, as determined by the Administrator.

2. Alternative Class III requirements may be substituted.

[75 FR 35597, June 22, 2010]

#### APPENDIX A TO SUBPART A OF PART 53— REFERENCES

(1) American National Standard Quality Systems—Model for Quality Assurance in Design, Development, Production, Installation, and Servicing, ANSI/ISO/ASQC Q9001-1994. Available from American Society for Quality, P.O. Box 3005, Milwaukee, WI 53202 (<http://qualitypress.asq.org>).

(2) American National Standard Quality Systems for Environmental Data and Technology Programs—Requirements with guidance for use, ANSI/ASQC E4-2004. Available from American Society for Quality P.O. Box 3005, Milwaukee, WI 53202 (<http://qualitypress.asq.org>).

(3) Dimensioning and Tolerancing, ASME Y14.5M-1994. Available from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017.

(4) Mathematical Definition of Dimensioning and Tolerancing Principles, ASME Y14.5.1M-1994. Available from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017.

(5) ISO 10012, Quality Assurance Requirements for Measuring Equipment-Part 1: Meteorological confirmation system for measuring equipment):1992(E). Available from American Society for Quality Control, 611 East Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53202.

(6) Quality Assurance Guidance Document 2.12. Monitoring PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Ambient Air Using Designated Reference or Class I Equivalent Methods. U.S. EPA, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1998 or later edition. Currently available at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/pmqaainf.html>.

[62 FR 38784, July 18, 1997, as amended at 71 FR 61278, Oct. 17, 2006]

#### Subpart B—Procedures for Testing Performance Characteristics of Automated Methods SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub>

##### § 53.20 General provisions.

(a) The test procedures given in this subpart shall be used to test the performance of candidate automated methods against the performance specifications given in table B-1. A test analyzer representative of the candidate automated method must exhibit performance better than, or equal to, the specified value for each such specification (except Range) to satisfy the requirements of this subpart. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, the range of the candidate method must be the range specified in table B-1 to satisfy the requirements of this subpart.

(b) For a candidate method having more than one selectable measurement range, one range must be that specified in table B-1 (standard range for SO<sub>2</sub>), and a test analyzer representative of the method must pass the tests required by this subpart while operated in that range. The tests may be repeated for one or more broader ranges (*i.e.*, ones extending to higher concentrations) than the range specified in table B-1, provided that the range does not extend to concentrations more than four times the upper range limit specified in table B-1. For broader